IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2 OF 2005

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO.168 OF 1991

Khosla Metal Powders P. Ltd .. Petitioner

vs

Sanghvi Onkarmnal Ratanlal & Com... Respondents

Mr.Nitin Thakkar with Mr G.C.Mohanty for Petr.
Mr.Mehta i.b Mehta & Co for Respondents

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J

DATE: 20th October, 2005

P.C.

1. The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. The same is reduced into writing in the form of consent terms. As the Company Petition is already disposed of by directing winding up of the company, the appropriate course is to allow the review petition and restore the Company Petition to

its original number so that the consent terms can be taken on record in the Company Petition and that the petition can be disposed as withdrawn after the proposed withdrawal is duly advertised. This course is adopted as no claim has been received in the registry either to support or oppose the prayer for winding up of the petitioner company. Accordingly, the Review Petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. In view of this order, Company Petition is made returnable on 17th November, 2005. Counsel for the company assures that the proposed withdrawal will be duly advertised before that date.

A.M.Khanwilkar, J